

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/663,902 09/17/2003		09/17/2003	Satoshi Takenaka	117087	2625	
25944	7590	08/24/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & B	ERRIDO	GE, PLC	WOJCIECHOWICZ, EDWARD JOSEPH			
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2815		

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					- law			
		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Commence	10/663,902		TAKENAKA, SATO	SHI			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		_ !	/ojciechowicz	2815				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the d	over sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statularly received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event ply within the statute d will apply and will o te, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14.	July 2004.						
· · · · ·	·	is action is no	n-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	nts have been nts have been iority documer au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage			
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-17-03, 2-5-04</u> .	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	·152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement in the reply filed on 7-14-04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search of one of the inventions would automatically encompass the other invention. This is not found persuasive because a classified search of specific subclases, either in class 257 or 438, would not necessarily turn up the same references and be applicable to both inventions.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim fails to specifically describe the apparatus which uses the electro-optical device of claim 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mazure et al.

The reference shows all of the claimed structural elements. For example, as seen in Fig. 2, Mazure

shows an FET formed with a channel, drain and source regions (28), and a gate insulating film which overlaps the drain and source regions, and is thicker (24) over the source/drain than over a center part of the channel (14). The reference also shows lightly doped regions (29).

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mazure, and further in view of Seo. While Mazure does not explicitly show such well known features as devices formed on surface films, or an electro-optical device, these additional features are taught by Seo. For example, Seo also forms an FET (as part of an electro-optical device) on a surface film such as layer (211) shown in Fig. 2A. In addition, Seo device is applicable to LCDs and active matrix organic EL displays, as claimed. See, the discussion at [0005] and [0009].

Since the improvements in device performance that result from the Mazure structure would be valuable in all types of FETs, one skilled in the art would be motivated to combine these references to achieve the same benefits in the electro-optical display shown by Seo.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J Wojciechowicz whose telephone number is 571-27-1739. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,902

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward J Wojciechowicz Primary Examiner Art Unit 2815 Page 4

EW: ew

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2500